been representing time and again to allot them cheap houses. The hon. Minister has said that she wants to keep them in a better environment. The employees want to live there. Could she please assure that these houses would be allotted to them?

[*English*]

SHRI BASU DEB ACHARIA: Please allot these houses to them.

MR. SPEKER: This does not arise out of this question.

[*Translation*]

SHRIMATI SHEILA KAUL: The point raised by the hon. Member does not relate to this question.

[*English*]

Construction of Roads under Jawahar Rozgar Yojana

*310. SHRIMATI RITA VERMA:
SHR VILASRAO NAGNATHRAO GUNDEWAR:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the length of roads in Kilometers constructed under the Jawahar Rozgar Yojana so far, state-wise;

(b) the expenditure incurred thereon, State-wise;

(c) the length of roads in Kilometers proposed to be constructed under the Jawahar Rojgar Yojana during 1992–93; and

(d) the expenditure to be incurred thereon, State–wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI G. VENKAT SWAMY): (a) to (d). A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

The length of roads in Kilometers constructed and the expenditure incurred thereon under the Jawahar Rozgar Yojana (JRY) is given in the Annexure.

(2) JRY is implemented through the DRDAs/Zilla Parishads/Village Panchayats at the district and village levels. The concerned rural bodies have the competence to determine the type of works to be taken by them with the available funds. As such, it is not possible to furnish the information regarding length of roads to be constructed under the JRY in 1992–93 and the proposed expenditure thereon.

STATEMENT

Details on Construction of Rural Roads and Expenditure Incurred Thereon Under Jawahar Rozgar Yojana during 1989-90 to 1991-92 (upto Dec'91)

Sl. No.	State/UT	1989-90		1990-91		1991-92		Period of Reporting
		Roads Constructed (in kms.)	Expnditure (Rs. in lakhs)	Roads Constructed (in kms.)	Expnditure (Rs. in lakhs)	Roads Constructed (in kms.)	Expnditure (Rs. in lakhs)	
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Andhra Pradesh	6643.1	2901.68	12315.83	4536.66	483.38	137.03	June, 91
2.	Arunchal Pradesh	363.52	37.96	43.00	36.77	7.80	3.28	Sept, 91
3.	Assam	1420.41	1855.82	2207.76	1985.15	509.45	321.54	Sept, 91
4.	Bihar	17224.86	3857.30	18653.97	5749.17	131127.34	1482.71	Sept, 91
5.	Goa	20.00	0.00	41.00	35.31	23.00	29.93	Sept, 91
6.	Gujarat	6445.44	2870.04	3805.11	2342.09	854.00	1479.61	Dec 91
7.	Haryana	159.80	217.57	484.00	728.48	161.82	283.65	Sept, 91
8.	Himachal Pradesh	1272.00	171.46	0.00	357.96	260.00	37.72	Sept, 91
9.	J & K	1582.00	164.43	1916.00	423.58	427.00	126.00	Sept, 91
10.	Karnataka	23134.00	2059.95	13733.00	2254.24	8162.00	792.30	Dec, 91

Sl. No.	State/UT	1989-90		1990-91		1991-92		Period of Reporting
		Roads Constructed (in kms.)	Expnditure (Rs. in lakhs)	Roads Constructed (in kms.)	Expnditure (Rs. in lakhs)	Roads Constructed (in kms.)	Expnditure (Rs. in lakhs)	
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
11.	Kerala	3532.28	3935.67	2144.42	3273.89	933.66	1626.62	Dec, 91
12.	Madhya Pradesh	14507.07	2169.15	5970.00	1197.07	2612.24	355.97	Sept, 91
13.	Maharashtra	5038.00	1964.82	2669.00	400.35	1908.00	243.65	Sept, 91
14.	Manipur	1644.75	131.97	241.95	182.53	9.00	6.91	Dec, 91
15.	Meghlaya	17.50	0.00	70.00	5.96	24.50	6.98	June, 91
16.	Mizoram	149.70	92.86	1110.00	307.56	32.00	20.28	Dec, 91
17.	Nagaland	228.52	114.26	156.53	78.26	40.18	40.18	Dec, 91
18.	Orissa	28181.09	3486.24	31272.45	4494.43	6418.20	1023.96	Sept, 91
19.	Punjab	446.00	49.84	155.00	6.97	5.00	1.07	Sept, 91
20.	Rajasthan	3366.00	526.71	1389.00	329.49	506.00	287.55	Sept, 91
21.	Sikkim	0.00	0.00	126.75	10.06	0.00	0.00	Dec, 91
22.	Tamil Nadu	7244.00	4872. 42	2925.11	4054.79	1359.37	2218.31	Dec, 91

Sl. No.	State/UT	1989-90		1990-91		1991-92		Period of Reporting
		Roads Constructed (in kms.)	Expnditure (Rs. in lakhs)	Roads Constructed (in kms.)	Expnditure (Rs. in lakhs)	Roads Constructed (in kms.)	Expnditure (Rs. in lakhs)	
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
23.	Tripura	575.50	9.50	870.62	82.62	538.87	41.63	Dec, 91
24.	Uttar Pradesh	46130.06	23740.98	16671.36	8064.92	8047.00	5007.20	Sept, 91
25.	West Bengal	10716.00	2154.97	9222.00	1801.59	4301.00	1019.35	Sept, 91
26.	A & N Island	124.87	23.60	0.12	0.00	3.00	1.89	Sept, 91
27.	Chandigarh	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—
28.	D&N Haveli	42.64	28.78	8.51	22.6	5016	11.16	Dec, 91
29.	Daman & Diu	7.49	3.60	.25	5.65	3.63	5.81	Dec, 91
30.	Delhi	6.00	0.04	3.31	5.18	0.00	0.00	June, 91
31.	Lakshadweep	4.77	10.30	4.73	1.99	0.65	0.74	Dec, 91
32.	Pondicherry	9.58	22.63	7.97	13.65	10.74	23.42	Dec, 91
	Total	180238.16	57494.55	130488.75	42790.47	50905.99	16636.45	

[Translation]

SHRIMATI RITA VERMA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, everybody knows and even the hon. Minister himself might be knowing that the figures shown in the Jawahar Rozar Yojana are not correct and in reality, even half of the work shown in the statement is not done. Payments are made without getting the work done, without getting the roads constructed. Does he have the information about it? The second part of the question is whether attention is paid to the quality of those roads which have been really constructed. Is any enquiry being held regarding the quality of those roads? The roads in my district are in such poor condition, that if the hon. Minister visits there, I am sure, his ribs will start aching in a day only. We are the persons of strong ribs that we are able to return home after moving on those roads. So, I would like to ask from the hon. Minister whether the roads have actually been constructed or not; whether these roads have been destroyed after one rain in a season only. Whether the hon. Minister ever paid attention to checking their qualities?

SHRI G. VENKATSWAMY: Mr. Speaker, Sir, under Article 240 of the Seventh Schedule of the Constitution of India under item no. 23 of the list 1, the Government of India owns the responsibility of the National Highway only. Under list 11 item 13 (State List) the remaining roads are under the jurisdiction of the State Governments.(*Interruptions*)

MR. SPEAKER: It is a Central programme which is implemented by the State Governments.

SHRI G. VENKATSWAMY: Mr. Speaker, Sir, it is the responsibility of the State Governments. I have given complete information about the roads constructed under the Jawahar Rozgar Yojana. In connection with the information about the year 1991–92, I have given information of works in progress also. So the supervision of the construction of the roads is the responsibility of the State Governments and not of the Central Government.

SHRIMATI RITA VERMA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, my second question is that he told that J.R.Y. is the responsibility of the State Government. But what is the criteria of choosing places where roads are to be constructed?

MR. SPEAKER: The whole work is performed by Grame Panchayats and District Boards. It is not even concerned with the State Government.

SHRIMATI RITA VERMA: This question has been accepted. Therefore, I would like to point out that there are those some places which are very important from the security point of view, but there are no roads at all while these should be connected with roads. In the absence of roads the police cannot reach there in case of any eventuality occurring there.

MR. SPEAKER: This question is concerned with Gram Panchayats and District Boards. Your question is good whether there is any plan to improve the position of the roads.

SHRI G. VENKATSWAMY: Mr Speaker, Sir, the Gram Panchayats and the District Boards look after these roads. The maintenance of the connected roads and all weather roads is under the jurisdiction of State Government.

SHRI VILASRAO NAGNATHRAO GUNDEWAR: Mr. Speaker, Sir, just now the hon. Minister has replied that the Central Government gives funds but the construction work is done by the State Government. The money is granted by the Central Government. I would like to ask whether the Government is going to make any special audit by the C.A.G. to curb the corruption prevailing in the States in respect of the construction of roads. Since the funds are granted by the Centre it is the duty of the Government whether that money is being used property or not. Is there any proposal to conduct any special audit by the C.A.G.?

SHRI G. VENKATSWAMY: Mr Speaker, Sir, there is no such proposal. It is the re-

sponsibility of the State Government and we cannot interfere with it.

SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I take strong exception to the reply of the hon. Minister. Funds are being granted by the Centre, and he says that he does not bother what happens to the funds afterawards. We are not ready to agree with him. It you see the report laid here minutely. You will find a number of discrepencies. About Goa is has been written here that twenty kilometres of road were constructed during 1989–90 but not a single paise was spent on it. I do not understand what sort of report you are presenting here. It has been written here that Rs. 3 crore and 57 lakh were spent in Himachal Pradesh during 1990–91 but not a single kilometer road was constructed there. Why such report is being presented here ? Even then you claim that you believe the report. Is it a joks? Mr. Speaker, Sir, you know it very well.

MR. SPEAKER: I was involved in this scheme. So, I know it.

SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES: You should go through the report. You have presented the report for the last three years. According to the reports, it seems that an average amount of Rs. one lakh is spent on constructing three kilometers of road. In some states, eight to ten kilometre road are being constructed. While in some States it is hardly 1.5 to 2 kilometers road is constructed. It is apparent from these reports as to what sort of bungling is going on in this respect. To spent the money is the responsibility of the Panchayats and the District Boards. The State Governments have their supervision on it and money is granted by the Centre. Would the Government like to make any concrete plan to have a check on the misuse of funds. What is y our proposal in this regard?

MR. SPEAKER: Money is given by the Centre, and is the Government going to do something to undo expenditure in wrong ways?

SHRI G. VENKATSWAMY: Mr. Speaker, Sir, the hon. Member knows that a constitutional controversy croppoed up during 1989, between the Centre and the States on sending direct cheques under Jawahar Rozgar Yojana the Central Government should not interfere in State's matters by sending direct cheques. We grant funds to States and States pass on these cheques to the District Boards and then it is sent to the Panchayts by the Board and these they carry on the work. If the hon. Member wants to know the number of roads constructed hitherto in the total no. of villages I can give the facts and figures.....(*Interruptions*)

SHRI ASHOK ANANDRAO DESHMUKH: Till now not a single road has been constructed (*Interruptions*)*

MR. SPEAKER: What are you talking?

[*English*]

It is not like that (*Interruptions*)

MR. SPEAKER: His entire speech is not going on record.

[*Translation*]

Perhaps you do not know. The funds for Jawahar Rozgar Yojana are sent to Gram Panchayats and District Boards, and they spend it. He is giving you information which he has collected. You have not been allowed to ask supplementary and even then you are doing so. (*Interruptions*)

[*English*]

MR. SPEAKER: I will allow you to ask a supplementary if you like.(*Interruptions*)

MR. SPEAKER: That is most irrelevant and very reprehensive of the Member.(*Interruptions*)

---

*Not recorded.

[*Translation*]

SHRI GEORGE FERANDES: Mr. Speaker, Sir, the reply to my question did not come. I do not want details about villages. Rs. 22 thousand crores are being flown from here.(*Interruptions*)

[*English*]

MR. SPEKAER: Please for God's sake understand that I will allow you ask a question. First you sit down please. (*Interruptions*)

MR. SPEAKER: What is that ? (*Interruptions*)

MR. SPEAKER: If you want to ask a question, I will allow you to ask a question. (*Interruptions*)

[*Translation*]

SHRI BUTA SINGH: Mr. Speaker, Sir, it is natural that hon. Members have curiosity to know about this issue. It is a very comprehensive and country wide programme. Its motto was to construct roads in rural areas and remove unemployment. We are surprised to see the details here that there is very little expenditure made from 1989–90 to 1990–91. In 1989–90 an amount of Rs. 18 crore was spent while in 1990–91 Rs. 13 crore were spent. The length of roads has also reduced from 57 thousand kilometers to 42 thousand kilometres.

MR. SPEAKER: They have to construct not only road but tracks and houses also.

SHRI BUTA SINGH: I would like to highlight the points of my objection. To some extent the Central Government is correct in saying that it gives money to the State Governments which spend it, but there is a full–fledged Ministry named Programme Implementation Ministry which provides feed back system. Whenever there was any shortage found in any State in respect of implementation of programme. We used to send a team there. has that convention been abolished now?

SHRI G. VENKAT SWAMY: I would like to point out to the hon. Member that the construction work of 1 lakh 80 thousand and 238 kilometers of roads have been accomplished during 1989–90. What ever he is pointing out is not road but it is expenditure made during 1989–90. I am correcting it.

SHRI MOHAN SINGH: The question has been asked whether the Department Programme Implementation has been abolished.

SHRI G. VENKAT SWAMY: In this regard I would like to State that we hold meeting with the officials of the State Government. Once in three months. Issues as to what programmes are being launched, what are being implemented, are discussed in the meetings. We chalk out programmes from village level to district level and have discussions on them. Efforts are being made to implement these programmes.

[*English*]

MR. SPEAKER: Shri Deshmukh I think you have a question. You ask a question, I will allow you now.

[*Translation*]

SHRI ASHOK ANNADRAO DESHMUKH: Money is sent from the Centre to the State Governments to meet the expenditure on District Boards, Panchayat Samitis and Village level. But the Centre should examine whether the money is utilised properly there or not. There is no work being undertaken under J.R.Y. in rural areas of almost all the districts including my district also. I would like to cite an example of Mirzapur where thumping charge about Rs. 1400/-, 1500/- was extracted a voting list which costs hardly about Rs. 10.

MR. SPEAKER: What is your question ?

SHRI ASHOK ANANDRAO

DESHMUKH: My question is similar to the question raised by Shri Vilas Gundewar whether any effort is being made to conduct any special audit in that case.

SHRI G. GENKAT SWAMY: The Government of India has no such right.

MR. SPEAKER: It is not like this. He is asking whether any audit will be conducted?

SHRI G. VENKAT SWAMY: We shall definitely try to do so.

(*Interruptions*)

[*English*]

MR. SPEAKER: I am allowing Shri Satya Pal Singh.

(*Interruptions*)

MR. SPEAKER: Shri Nirmal Kanti Chatterjee, I am also not allowing you. I have called Mr. Satya Pal Singh.

(*Interruptions*)

MR. SPEAKER: What is this ?

[*Translation*]

SHRI SATYA PAL SINGH YADAV: Mr. Speaker, Sir my question is that so far as I have the information, under the Jawahar Rozgar Yojana bricks roads are constructed in villages, houses are built for Harijans some works of social forestry are also undertaken, but construction of roads is not undertaken, but only some link roads are constructed. I would like to point out to the hon. Minister that bunglings of huge sum of allocated amount is being done in collusion with the Gram Pradhans and the district officers because both of them take commission and bribe. The District Magistrate or the S.D.M. conducts the enquiry into these bunglings. The results is that the enquiry is conducted by those who themselves are corrupt and take commission and the real facts do not come out. Therefore, would the Government arrange to appoint an enquiry commission to ensure the proper use of the Central funds. Secondly, I would like to know whether the funds being given to Uttar Pradesh for 1992–93 are less or more in comparison with the last year's amount.

MR. SPEAKER: You should point out whether the Central funds given to Uttar Pradesh are less or more in comparison with the last year. If you have figures you should state, otherwise, communicate it later on.

SHRI G. VENKAT SWAMY: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I shall certainly communicate these figures after holding an enquiry.

[*English*]

Loss to NLC

*312. DR. P. VALLAL PERUMAN: Will the Minister of COAL be pleased to state:

(a) whether any loss was caused to the Neyveli Lignite Corporation due to the recent flood and cyclone;

(b) if so the details thereof; and

(c) the precautionary measures proposed to be taken in this regard?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF COAL (SHRI S.B. NYAMAGANDA): (a) to (c). A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT

(a) The estimated shortfall in income and extra expenditure on account of the flooding is about Rs. 47.91 crores.

(b) The details of the loss in production are given below: